

Previous references.

File No. 321-a

Serial Nos. 1-6

Later references.

1908 5-
(Courts)
-65-217 No 7 - *Director*
86 No 1 Filed Feb 8.
Ch 304908 861-4 B June 09
Ch 109 -09-1
.. 176-26-1

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 1-6

Part B. for Dec. 06.

SUBJECT.

Increase of pay to the Public Prosecutors
of the Mysore Division

Serial No

Table of Contents

To the Public Prosecutors, Mysore Div.

Rs 80 d 14. 6. 06

That his pay may be raised from
Rs 100 to 150 and that the rules relating to
the remuneration of Public Prosecutors
may be concerned and referred to as to secure
an adequate remuneration for the work demanded of

2. To. The Dy Magr Mysore, no 252 d 27.7.06

forwards a copy of the Public Prosecutor's letter in question & requests him to favour Govt with his remarks on the subject

3. To. The Registrar, Chief Court, no 253 d 27.7.06

Forwards copy of the Public Prosecutor's letter in question and requests him to favour Govt with the opinion of the Chief Court

4. From the Dy Magr, Mysore no 147 d 8.8.06

States that the Public Prosecutor's prayer for the increase of pay is reasonable and may be sanctioned.

5. To. The Registrar, Chief Court. No 1801 d 10.9.06
79.

States that the Chief Court is not in favour of granting the increase of pay asked for as the statistics furnished by the Sessions Judge as to the no. of Sessions Cases conducted by the Public Prosecutor of Mysore and Bangalore for 4 years before the establishment of the Sessions Court at Hassan and for 3 years after the abolition of that Court show that the work of the Mysore Public Prosecutor is lighter

6. To. The Regr. Chief Court, Public Prosecutor of Mysore & Shivmoga Dist, The Comptroller & Dy Magr, no 91760-71 d 22.12.06

Sanctions the increased pay at Rs 150 to the Public Prosecutors of Mysore & Shivmoga and fixes the term of office of the Public Prosecutors for 3 years

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

(III Court)

NOTES.

File. No 324-05.

FILE HEADING.

Increase of pay to the Public Prosecutor Mysore
Division

From

The Public Prosecutor, Mysore Division

No.

80

Dated

14-6-06

Received 16-6-06

Diary No. 3164

Enclos.

Spare Copies.

Serial No. 1

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER.

Prays that his pay may be raised from Rs 100 to Rs 150 and that the rules relating to the remuneration of Public Prosecutors may be so conceived and reframed as to secure an adequate remuneration for the work demanded of them.

NOTES AND ORDERS.

Srs
18-7-0615-11-06
Hag

The pay of the Public Prosecutors of Mysore & Shimoga Divisions were reduced from Rs 150. to Rs 100. on the establishment of a new Division at Hassan (vide Govt. No. 5867-76 - 8639 dated the 27th October 1900) & a representation from the

Serial
No.

late Public Prosecutor (Mr. Raja Rao) Govt. declined to raise the pay (Govt. Order No. 14654-55-J1462 d: the 29th April 1901). Mr. M. A. Krishna Rao requests that the pay may be raised to Rs 150 on the following grounds:—

(1) The ~~work~~ Sessions Court at Hassan having been abolished, the work of the Public Prosecutor of the Hassan Division has been transferred to him and that owing to this ~~add~~ work he finds no time for private practice.

(2) Formerly Public Prosecutors were ~~remun~~ remunerated for all ~~items~~ ^{work} of criminal work other than ~~Sessions Court~~ and this has been put a stop to by the introduction of Rules issued under G. P. No. 1932-J137 of 02-03 dated the 16th March 1904.

(3) The price of food grains & other necessities of life having risen, the pay allowed to him is scarcely sufficient to enable him to live ^{in the} ~~which~~ ^{in the} ~~as an advocate~~ ^{in the} ~~he has long been accustomed~~ ^{in the} ~~in a~~ ^{in the} ~~decent style~~ & to subscribe for the various law reports to be well posted ^{up} in the literature of criminal law in particular.

(4) That Public Prosecutors alone have not been admitted to participate in the pay and prospects of the branches of the public service.

Subd for order—

22-6-06

GPB-50,000-25 10-05

Please complete the referees if the file containing the orders of 1st March 1905 & 14th April 1906 has been received

23-6-06

Subd

The files have not been recd. in the Section

23-6-06

Serial
No.

Flags. 2, + 3.

Subd

The files containing the orders refd to above are
put up.SL
30/6/06

20-6-06

of Sub-6 the Secretary.

The grant of increased pay is asked
for with retrospective effect from the
date of the abolition of the Hassan
Semi- Court.

30-6-06

with effect from 1st Jan 1903
Flag W.

9 When the Hassan Division was
abolished in Decr 1902, the work ^{there} was
divided between the Mysore & Shimoga Divisions.
The Public Prosecutors of these ~~Divisions~~ ^{Divisions}
had, therefore, an accession of work by
this arrangement, which was a reversal
to the state of things which existed prior
to Octr. 1900. They were therefore
fairly entitled to their pay (which had
been reduced from Rs 150 to Rs 100) being
again increased to Rs 150. But the
question does not seem to have been con-
sidered at the time, & perhaps the
fact that a small part of the work of
conducting Sessions cases before the
Asst Sessions Judge of Hassan &
Chitradurga devolved on separate
"Assistant" Public Prosecutors (who are paid

Serial
No.

at so much per case) ~~has~~^{may have been} regarded as
justifying the continuance of the reduced
scale of pay. The number of such
cases is, however, comparatively very
small, & can make no essential
difference as regards the quantum of
work which the P.P.s ~~have~~^{are required} to put in.
Moreover, the strict application of
the Rules of 16th March 1904 ~~and~~ as
modified from time to time has tended
to deprive these law officers of ~~such~~
a sensible proportion of the ~~of~~ ^{the} emoluments
which ^{under the} previous rules they were in
a position to claim.

W There is ~~some~~ ^{some} force, therefore, in the representation made by Mr. Kottmeyer. It is for Gov. to consider whether the state of the finances ^{will} allow of the small increase (70,000) asked for. ~~If the tax~~
~~assessments, perhaps, do an equal~~
~~value to it may be laid down that~~
~~the state will be entitled to extra fees~~
~~for office work.~~ The opportunity may also be taken to prescribe a term of office in each case, say, three years at a time, subject to re-appointment if satisfactory be given. Such a rule is, in my

Serial
No.

2

To the D. Magte, Mysore, No. 152 d: 27-7-06.

Forwards a copy of the Public Prosecutor's letter in question & requests him to furnish report with his remarks on the subject.

3.

To the Registrar, Chief Ct. No. 253 d: 27-7-06

Forwards a copy of the Public Prosecutor's letter in question & requests him to furnish report with the opinion of the Chief Court.

2417-

4.

From the D. Magte, Mysore, No. 147 dated the 8th August 1906. (Recd on 11-8-06 - 2417).

States that the Public Prosecutor's prayer for the increase of pay is reasonable & may be sanctioned.

16 Repls from the Registrar, Chief Court may be awaited.

SR
15-8-06
R21
15-8-06

7 986
15-8-06

2664

5

From the Registrar, Chief Court. No. $\frac{1501}{79}$ d: 10.9.06

States that the Chief Court is not in favor of granting the increase of pay asked for, as the statistics furnished by the Sessions Judge as to the no. of Sessions Cases conducted by the Public Prosecutors of Mysore & Bangalore for 4 years before the establishment of the Sessions Court at Hassan & for 3 years after

Paper under disposal

Serial
No.

the abolition of that Court shew that the work
of the Mysore Public Prosecutor is lighter.

Subd for orders.

SV SN
15.9.06 17.9.06

Put up a draft declining to accept
the increase, on the grounds mentioned in
the Rep's letter.

be
18.9.06

CS

Draft submitted to Secy

SV SN
20.9.06 20/9/06

be
24/9/06

19 After this draft (if appd)
is issued, the question of limiting
the term of 'law officers' appts to
three years, desirable if necessary
for further periods, will be dealt
with separately.

To Director 15/10

15/10/06

1157
11-10-06

20

With all due deference to the Chief
Court's opinion it seems to me
that mere statistics of the quantity
of work are no safe criterion in this
matter - The quality ^{expected} is of much
greater importance - In order to make
the position of the P.P. sufficiently at-

be
30/9

- Hackme

Serial
No.

to eleven men to Court & to keep
I would recommend the increase
asked for & thereby the restoration
of the pay to the former scale —

29 Oct 06 —
Current matter

Circulate

30 Oct 06

31-10-06

The Public Prosecutor fills
a large place in the eyes of
the public and the proper
conduct of the Sessions
cases depends almost
entirely on his ability and
the interest evinced by him.
The dignity of the office requires
that the pay attached to it
should be a respectable one
and I have always thought
that it was a bad policy
to reduce it from Rs 150 to
Rs 100. As a matter of fact

Serial
No.

the Public prosecutors lose
the ^{chance of earning} fees which as advocates,
they have a right to expect
if engaged as Counsel for
the accused in Sessions
cases. They are probably
reconciled to the loss
as they think it an honor
to serve the State. But
the emoluments should
be fixed at a respectable
figure - more especially
as the rules of 16th March 1904
have operated to curtail
the emoluments they were
previously entitled to.

It will be false economy
to adhere to the lower scale
of pay on financial grounds
we can well afford to pay
our Public Prosecutors Rs 150
and this should be sanctioned
I think

1/11/06

W. N. Cunningham
27 June 1906

Serial
No.

I quite agree with the Secretary that the appointment should be tenable for 3 years at a time, the Govt reserving to themselves the option to reappoint if entire satisfaction is given.

M. V.
1/11/06

N.O. No. J 23


11906-7

2-11-06

* B. M. G. whom now
set 2-11-06

As a permanent increase of the salary of the Public Prosecutors of Mysore & Shimoga is proposed, this is referred to the Comptroller for remarks on the financial side.

M. de la Roche
1-11-06



No 99-93-11-06

III
24-11-06

I do not think that in a matter of this kind we should disregard the advice of the Chief Court. I would add that the arguments of the Councillors do not fit in with financial methods and financial considerations should be given the weight that they deserve.

in (hand)
3.11.06.

C322
27-11-06

Note—Contn. sheet

Revised to Councillors before
M. de la Roche to discuss

GPB-50,000-23 10-05

seen
M. V.
26-11-06
seen 27-11-06

12

Submitted to His Highness.

~~This is a~~
Prosecutor, Mysore Division, praying ~~that the Public~~
~~this pay~~
may be increased from Rs 100 to Rs 150 per

30 Previous to the year 1900, the pay of Public Prosecutors was fixed at Rs 150, and, when, in that year, a new District and Sessions Court was established at Hassan, the pay of the Public Prosecutors of the Mysore, Shimoga and Hassan Divisions was reduced to Rs 100 in consequence of the material reduction of work resulting from the new arrangement. The Public Prosecutor, Bangalore Division, has however continued to draw Rs 150. In 1903, however, the District Court at Hassan, was abolished, and the Mysore and Shimoga Sessions Divisions were re-constituted. The pay of the Public Prosecutor of the two Divisions ~~was~~ *however* not restored to the former amount. The Public Prosecutor of Mysore, now prays that his pay may be fixed at Rs 150 per mensem.

31 The District Magistrate, Mysore, considers the request reasonable, and that ~~he~~ ^{Public Prosecutor, Mysore} should be placed

on an equal footing with the Public Prosecutor, Bangalore.

32 The Chief Court are of opinion that the Public Prosecutor's work in Mysore is lighter than in Bangalore judged by the statistics of the Mysore and the Bangalore Divisions, and that they are therefore not in favour of granting the increase asked for.

33 The Comptroller is of opinion that the opinion of the Chief Court should not be disregarded.

34 On the other hand, the Councillors are of opinion that the pay and position of the Public Prosecutor should be made sufficiently attractive and that the original scale of pay should be restored.

35 On a consideration of all the circumstances of the case, I think that it was a mistake to have reduced the pay of the Public Prosecutors in 1900. Now that the number of District Courts has been reduced and the Public Prosecutors are not remunerated by fees for their criminal work other than sessions work under the rules of March 1904, there can be no justification for the low rate of pay being continued. I would recommend the old scale being restored and


the pay of the Public Prosecutors of the Mysore and Shimoga Divisions being fixed at Rs 150 per mensem.

3/6 In this connection it has been suggested by the General and Revenue Secretary that with a view to secure the best available talent, the term of office of Public Prosecutors be fixed at 3 years in the case of future appointments, subject to re-appointment in case of satisfactory service. The Second Councillor approves of this suggestion and I agree with him.

These recommendations may be sanctioned.

1/12/2006

Record of Cases submitted for the Orders
of His Highness the Maharaja.



Subject.

37

Increased pay to the Public Prosecutors of the
Mysore and Shimoga Divisions and fixing a
term to the holding of these offices.

487

38

Order.

I agree with the Dewan.

KRM

7/12/06

Dewan's order

39 Please issue

B.O.

AB

8/12

Go Y. It me see draft. (Under
recent order, this pay change must have
effect from 1st July 1907)

Draft to be made.

80
11.12.06

15
16/12/06

Serial
No.

Vide para 1
as altered

Subd with a draft order. The term of the office
of the present incumbents is not fixed.

SR. Secy) Ws 11/12/06

W. J. Sme

W. J. Sme

W. J. Sme

B Rec &
than circulate
for 25/12/06

6. To the Registrar, Chief Clerk, The Public Pro-
secutors of Mysore & Shimoga Divs.;
The Comptroller; The St. Magistrates of S.S.
No. 1760-71 of 22.12.06

Sanctions the increased pay at Rs 150/-
to the Public Prosecutors of Mysore & Shimoga
Divisions with effect from the 1st July 1907
and fixes the term of Office of the Public
Prosecutors of all the divisions at 3 years
subject to reappointment in case of
Satisfactory service.

See
W. J. Sme

Recd by post 15-6-06
Per 14
2:16/06

no 80

KSC/Kand.

CLT 326905, 21

14th June 1906
Mysore.

J. 3164

From

M. A. Koushika Sengh
Public Prosecutor
Mysore Division.

iii
and 10/6/06
contd
3.

The Secretary to the Government
of
H. H. the Maharajah of Mysore
General & Revenue Department

Sir

I beg you will be pleased to lay
the following with your kind support
before the Government for their favour-
able consideration.

Refer to the establishment of the
Sessions Court at Hassan in October
1900, the pay of the Public Prosecutor
was Rs 150 per mensem. When that
Court was established, Government
in their Proceedings no 5867-76. J. 639
dated 27th October 1900 ordered as follows:
It is further directed that as the
work of the Public Prosecutors of Mysore
and Shimoga Divisions will be material-
ly reduced by the transfer of the work
of the Hassan and Kedar Districts
respectively to the Public Prosecutor
Hassan Division, their pay be re-
duced from Rs 150 to Rs 100 per mensem

The sum of Rs 100 saved by the aforesaid reduction was paid to the Public Prosecutor of the newly formed Sessions Court at Hassan.

The work of the Public Prosecutor Bangalore Division not having been reduced, his pay has continued to be Rs 150 per mensem.

The Sessions Court at Hassan and with it, the post of Public Prosecutor Hassan Division were abolished from the 9th January 1903 and since then the work of the Hassan District has been transferred to the Public Prosecutor Mysore Division. This increase of work has greatly added to my responsibility. The heavy sessions work of the Mysore and Hassan districts and other work which the Public Prosecutor has to do without fees have been absorbing nearly the whole of my time leaving little or none for private practice which as a source of additional income has practically failed. Thus it may fairly be said that I have become a whole-time Public Prosecutor.

Formerly Public Prosecutors were remunerated for all items of criminal work other than sessions work by separate fees, but by repeated amendment of rules they were declared not to be entitled to fees for a greater portion of such work, till under the consolidated rules issued under Govt Proceedings No 1932 - J. 137 of 02-3 & 16th March 1904, there remained only two or three items for which fees were allowed. These consolidated rules on submission of bills of fees payable to me for work done under them were

Yr
1

dealt with as follows.

(a) As regards one set of bills of fees, the bills were rejected on the ground that the words which entitled me to fees "were retained in the rule by inadvertence" and the rule was amended by omitting those words. Vide Pro No J. 554-95-C. 42-2 of 25.8.1905.

flag ✓

(b) In respect of another bill it was sanctioned but the rule under which the fee was

flag X

claimed was forthwith amended. Vide Pro No J. 2572-2614-cts. 225-2 of 27.3.1906.

(c) Regarding a third set of bills,

these bills were rejected on the ground that the rule as then

interpreted did not entitle me to fees. Vide Pro No J. 2836-cts. 243-2 dated 14th April 1906, though on previous occasions bills of fees for the

same kind of work were sanctioned under the same rule.

Vide Pro J. 2500-1 dated 1st Nov. C. 212-2

1905.

The pay and prospects of all branches of Public Service. Municipal Amildars, Police, schoolmasters, thekudars &c have been improved and the Public Prosecutors alone have not been admitted into a participation in the benefits of the generous and liberal policy and solicitude of Government towards its servants. It is hard lines that while my work and responsibility have been greatly increased, I should continue to draw the pay fixed when, and because the work was reduced. To this has to be added the consideration that the prices of articles of food and other necessities

~~sent to the~~
~~and the~~

21
~~sent to the~~
~~and the~~

of life have been rising daily and the pay of Rs 100 per mensem in these circumstances is scarcely sufficient to enable me to live in the style to which as an advocate I have long been accustomed and to subscribe for the various Law reports to be well looked in the literature relating to Law in general and Criminal Law in particular.

I therefore respectfully pray that the Government may be pleased to give their kind and patient consideration to the circumstances indicated above and restore the old scale of pay namely Rs 150 per mensem with effect from the date of the abolition of the Hassan Sessions Court so that it may to some extent be proportionate to the dignity, responsibility and the arduous nature of the work of the office of Public Prosecutor and in point of pay bring me into line with the Public Prosecutor Bangalore Division and the last grade of Munsiffs and Amildars.

I also pray that the rules relating to the remuneration of Public Prosecutors for criminal work other than sessions work may be so conceived & reframed as to secure adequate remuneration for the work demanded of them.

I have the honor to be
Sir,
Your most obedient servant
Mullerappa Gupta
Public Prosecutor
Mysore District

Diary No. of letter replied to _____

General and Rev. Secretariat

()
, the 190 .

To

The District Magistrate,
Mysore St.

Date of issue 27-7-06

Signed by Mr. R. Nathan Sii,

~~(original, to be kind of answer)~~

25-7-06

I am directed to forward ^{a copy of} ~~herein~~ letter No 80 dated the 14th June 1906, from the Public Prosecutor, Mysore Division, praying that his pay may be raised to Rs 150. for ~~the~~ reasons stated therein and to request that you will be so good as to favour ~~for~~ with your remarks on the subject.

I have etc

^{for}
 Per Secy
 Secy

SUBJECT.

SN

17/7/06

RSL

17-7-06

Diary No. of letter replied to _____

General and Rev. Secretariat

()

, the

190

To

The Registrar, Chief Court

Date of issue 27-7-06

Signed by

Mr. K. Mathan

Sir,

I am directed to forward herewith a copy of letter No. 80 dated the 14th June 1906 from the Public Prosecutor, Mysore Division, praying that his pay may be raised to Rs. 150 for reasons stated therein, and to request that you will be so good as to favour just with the opinion of the Chief Court on the subject.

I have etc.

by 19-06-06

In Secretary

SUBJECT

Sd/-

18/7/06 100/187-56

GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE

FROM

The District Magistrate

To The Secretary
and the Deputy

Dated 8th Aug 06

Despatched 9

Received

General No. 147
No.

Enclosure No.

Recommends Public Works
cuts' having been raised to Rs 150/-

532/06
S.M. 11/11
18906
9406
11-8
Counts

No-147

At Magistrate's Office

Dated Mysore 27th August 06

To

K.S. Chandra Sekhara for Esq. B.A.B.R.
Secretary to the Government
Genl and Revenue Dep^t

Sir,

Referring to your letter no.

J 252- Cb 321-05-2 dated Rs 27th July 1906 forward
ing for remarks copy of letter no: 80 dated the 14th June
1906 received from the Public Prosecutor Mysore Division
praying that his pay might be raised to Rs 150, I have
the honor to state that the prayer of the Public Prosecutor
for raising his pay to the A0 scale seems reasonable in
as much as the ground on which it was refused, no longer
exists now. If it is a fact that the Public Prosecutor Bangalore
Division continues to draw Rs 150/- the Public Prosecutors of
other Divisions who have to do the same amount of work
as that of the Bangalore District Public Prosecutor, all these I submit
should be placed on the same level with regard to pay. Rs 100
paid to his Division is not sufficiently attractive to draw able and
experienced advocates to take up the office.

I have the honor to be
Yours most desirous servant

W. S. S. Magistrate Mysore

No 1501
791
13500 Cms 12500

664

Cl 321-05, 565

Chief Court of Mysore
Bangalore dated 10th September

From.

V. A. Rajaratna, Muddu Esq,
Registrar, Chief Court of
Mysore.

To.

H. S. Chandrasekhara Iyer Esq
Secretary to the Government
of Mysore, General and
Revenue Department.

Sir,

With reference to your
letter No. 7253 Cl 321-05-3
dated 27th July 1906. For
warding for the opinion
of the Chief Court. Copy of
letter

letter No. 80 dated 14th June 1906
from the Public Prosecutor of
the Mysore Division, praying
that his pay may be raised
to Rs 150. I am directed to state
that the statistics furnished
by the Sessions Judges as to
the number of sessions cases
conducted by the Public Pro-
secutors of Mysore and Bangalore
for 4 years before the
establishment of the Sessions
Court at Hassan and for
three years after the abolition
of that Court show that the
work of the Mysore Public
Prosecutor is lighter and

the

File No. 321-05
Serial No. 6

Diary No. of letter replied to 664

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness
the Maharaja of Mysore.

GENERAL AND REVENUE
SECRETARIAT.

()

READ.—

, the

190

Date of issue

Signed by

Letter No. 80, dated the 14th June
1906 from the Public Prosecutor,
Mysore Division, praying that
his pay may be raised ^{from Rs. 100} to Rs. 150 per
mensem and that the rules relating to the
remuneration of Public Prosecutors
may be so ~~conceded~~ and
ORDER THEREON.—O.
re-framed, as to secure an
adequate remuneration for
the work demanded of them.
(2) Letter No. 147, dated the 8th
August 1906 from the District
Magistrate, Mysore, recom-
mending the increase.

over

SUBJECT

(3) Letter No. 1501/79, dated
the 10th September 1906, from
the Registrar, Chief Court,
stating that the Chief Court
is not in favour of granting
the increase of pay asked for,
~~and Comptroller's official memo no. 99~~
~~dated the 3rd November 1906.~~

Order thereon: No. 1760-21 of 22-12-06.
Previous to the year 1900 the ^{Chief Court of} Public
~~Before~~ 1900 the Public

Prosecutors of Bangalore, Mysore
and Shimoga were all paid was fixed at -

Rs 150 per month, but ~~when~~ on the establishment of a new
Sessions Court ~~was established~~

at Hassan, Govt. in their Procs
No. 5867-76/J. 639, dated the 27th of Dec. 0

October 1900, reduced the pay of
the Public Prosecutor, Mysore to

Rs 100. The Public Prosecutor

Mysore now prays that his


pay may be raised again from

Rs 100 to Rs 150 on the ground of ~~that the abolition of the~~
~~additional work thrown upon~~ Sessions Court at Hassan
~~him in consequence of the~~ has considerably increased
~~abolition of the Sessions Court~~ his work and that under
Rules issued in 1904 the
Public Prosecutors are not
remunerated by Govt. for the
criminal work ~~for~~ other than
Sessions work.

GENERAL AND REVENUE
SECRETARIAT.

Flag R

(Continuation Sheet draft form.)


 The
 furnished by the Session Judge as to

~~at Hassan and to the Stoppage~~
~~under the rules issued in 1904~~
~~of remuneration for items of~~
~~Criminal work (other than~~
~~Sessions work). The District~~
~~Magistrate Mysore ^{recommends} supports~~
~~the application and expresses~~
~~the opinion that the Public~~
~~Prosecutor Mysore ^{may} should~~
~~be given the same pay as the~~
~~Public Prosecutor Bangalore,~~
~~The Chief Court however, is~~
~~not in favour of granting the~~
~~increase of pay as the statistics~~
~~showing the number of~~
~~Sessions Cases conducted by the~~
~~Public Prosecutors of Mysore &~~
~~Bangalore for 4 years before~~
~~the establishment of the Sessions~~
~~Court at Hassan and for 3~~
~~years after the abolition of that~~
~~Court ^{show} that the work of the~~
~~Mysore Public Prosecutor is~~
~~considerably lighter. ~~The~~~~
~~Comptroller is of opinion that the~~
~~opinion of the Chief Court should~~
~~not be disregarded.~~

1. On a consideration of all
 the circumstances of the Case

the Govt are of the opinion
that since the number of the
District Courts has been reduced
and the Public Prosecutors
do not receive remuneration
for their Criminal work (other
than sessions ~~work~~ work), the
old scale fixing the pay of the
Public Prosecutors at Rs 150/- per
mensem should be restored,
and they are accordingly pleased
to direct that the pay of the Public
Prosecutors of the Mysore & Shimoga
Divisions be raised from Rs 100
to Rs 150 per mensem with effect
from the 1st July 1907.

With a view to secure
the best available talent

3. The term of office of the
Public Prosecutors of all the Divisions
~~will be~~ fixed at 3 years,
~~in the case of future appoint-~~
~~ments~~ subject to reappointment
in case of satisfactory service.

~~11.12.06~~ 11/12/06
11.12.06 11/12/06
Secretary

To The Registrar, Chief Court
The Public Prosecutors Mysore & Shimoga
The Comptroller, Mysore
The District Magistrates
Mysore & Shimoga

21-12-07
C. W. S.
Mysore